ASHA PUBLISHING

JPPLG

JOURNAL OF PUBLIC POLICY AND REGIONAL GOVERNMENT



Volume 2, Issue 1, 2025

Journal of Public Policy and Local Government (JPPLG)
https://journal.ashapublishing.co.id/index.php/jpplg/
E-ISSN: 3047-6984
Volume 2, Issue 1, March 2025.
Pages: 30-36

Development of E-Government Innovation at the Urban Level: A Systematic Literature Review

Suprianto
Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Maritim Balik Diwa
Correspondence Author: antosaid.m@itbm.ac.id

ABSTRACT

This study applies the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to comprehensively explore the models, strategies, and factors that affect the successful implementation of e-government innovation at the city level. This approach was chosen to provide a structured and objective scientific synthesis of previous research results while mapping the development of knowledge and identifying research gaps. The literature review process follows the PRISMA protocol, encompassing the stages of identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion, with a focus on Scopus-indexed articles published between 2021 and 2025 related to digital innovation, innovative city governance, and public service transformation. The analysis was conducted using a thematic analysis method to categorize findings based on key themes, including digital technology, organizational readiness, citizen participation, leadership, and regulations. The study's results demonstrate that e-government has excellent transformative potential in enhancing the efficiency of public services, strengthening transparency, and increasing citizen participation. The success of implementation is heavily influenced by the leadership role and organizational culture that supports innovation. However, challenges such as the digital divide, scalability, and contextual differences between cities remain obstacles that need to be overcome. This study highlights the significance of contextual approaches and community engagement in the development of inclusive and sustainable e-government. Thus, this research contributes to the development of conceptual mapping and recommendations for policy directions that support the effective and accountable digital transformation of urban governance.

Keywords: e-government; innovation; smart city; urban governance

INTRODUCTION

Rapid technological advances have become a crucial element in transforming the structure of public administration, particularly at the urban level. As citizens increasingly demand transparency, efficiency, and accessibility from their local governments, the concept of e-government is increasingly prominent. The integration of digital technology into government processes not only streamlines operations but also encourages greater civic engagement and accountability, an idea extensively examined in previous studies that emphasize the diverse benefits of e-government initiatives (Thapaliya & Esichaikul, 2025). In this context, the development of e-government innovations at the city level is emerging as a critical area of inquiry, warranting a systematic exploration of the existing literature to uncover meaningful insights and identify applicable trends. Scholars and practitioners alike have explored the various dimensions of e-government, encompassing technology infrastructure, service delivery, policy implications, and citizen satisfaction (Kaushal & Kumar, 2025). A substantial body of literature suggests that cities utilizing e-government platforms experience increased service efficiency and improved communication with residents, as evidenced in case studies from various urban environments (Slater et al., 2023). For example, research shows that innovations such as online licensing, digital licensing systems, and interactive citizen portals contribute to a more responsive

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70188/an4zr139

urban governance structure (Onyekwelu & Ogbuefi, 2021).

In addition, the role of leadership in fostering an e-governance culture has become a focal point, as effective leadership is considered essential to navigating implementation challenges and encouraging stakeholder collaboration (Centonze & Reale, 2021). However, despite these advances, significant gaps remain in the literature around the scalability of e-government innovation and its long-term sustainability. While much of the research has focused on the success of the initial implementation, few studies have explored the ongoing challenges cities face in maintaining and developing these digital platforms amid changing technological conditions and evolving citizen expectations (Febriyanti et al., 2023). In addition, the variation in e-government adoption across different cities is often influenced by local contexts, economic factors, and demographic variables, which invites further investigation (Gadian et al., 2022). Investigating these dimensions can provide a deeper understanding of how cities can tailor their e-government strategies to serve their specific populations better (Martati et al., 2021). In addition, while the link between e-government and increased civic engagement is often proposed, empirical studies that establish a clear causal relationship remain limited in number (Sesan et al., 2022).

This requires a more nuanced examination that measures the impact of various e-government services on citizen participation and public trust in local governance (Belyaeva & Sokolova, 2024). In addition, the existing literature often ignores the role of digital gaps and disparities in technology access, which can further complicate the implementation of equitable e-government initiatives (Bobrovnyk, 2024). Addressing this issue is critical to ensuring that all citizens can benefit from an e-government strategy. Thus, this literature review seeks to systematically collect and analyze the existing body of knowledge regarding e-government innovation at the city level. By synthesizing findings from various studies, the review aims to illuminate key themes, highlight areas of empirical lack of attention, and propose avenues for future research that can improve the effectiveness and inclusivity of e-government initiatives (Baymuratov & Kofman, 2022; Bobrovnyk, 2022; Botín-Sanabria et al., 2022; Cooley et al., 2023; Dwivedi et al., 2023; Isakov, 2023; Kamalov et al., 2023; Kelly et al., 2023; Rozhkov, 2023). Ultimately, it aims to highlight the significance of ongoing scientific collaboration with the rapidly evolving field of e-government, particularly as cities navigate an increasingly complex digital landscape.

METHOD

This study applies the *Systematic Literature Review* (SLR) approach to comprehensively explore the models, strategies, and factors that affect the successful implementation of e-government innovation at the city level. This approach was chosen because it can provide a structured, objective, and transparent scientific synthesis of previous research results, enabling the mapping of knowledge development and the identification of research gaps (Keele, 2007; Petticrew & Roberts, 2008). The primary purpose of this study is to compile a conceptual map and provide recommendations for the development of e-government based on a synthesis of scientific literature. The literature review process follows the PRISMA *(*Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses*)* protocol, as developed by Moher et al. (2009), with stages consisting of identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and inclusion.

The inclusion criteria include articles published in Scopus-indexed journals between 2021 and 2025, with a primary focus on innovation, digitization of public services, and e-government transformation at the city level. The exclusion criteria include non-peer-reviewed articles, duplicate literature, and scholarly works that are not relevant to the topic of discussion. Data was collected through article searches in the Scopus and ScienceDirect databases using keywords such as "e-government innovation," "urban digital governance," "smart city governance," and "municipal e-government transformation" and combined with Boolean AND and OR operators to improve search specificity, (Busch et al., 2018). After the selection process, articles are analyzed

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70188/an4zr139

using a thematic analysis approach (Braun & Clarke, 2006), which allows the grouping of information based on themes that often arise, such as the use of digital technology, organizational readiness, citizen participation, leadership, and regulatory frameworks, (Picazo-Vela et al., 2012; Silva & Westrup, 2009). This analysis also serves to develop a theoretical framework and policy direction in the development of e-government innovations in the urban government environment.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The examination of e-government innovation at the city level has undergone significant evolution, highlighting the various phases that underscore technological advances and policy shifts. Early studies in the early 2000s recognized e-government as a tool to increase citizen engagement and improve the delivery of public services. This introductory period sets the stage for understanding the potential of e-government to bridge the gap between citizens and city authorities. As the decade progressed, researchers began to explore the complexities of e-government implementation, focusing on the factors that influence adoption and sustainability. Several studies demonstrate that organizational culture and leadership commitment are crucial in promoting innovative practices within city administration. In the mid-2010s, the literature expanded to include a citizen-centered approach, emphasizing the importance of user engagement in e-government initiatives. This shift is evident in works that highlight participatory platforms as a means to improve democratic governance.

Recent contributions in the field have revisited technological advancements with the advent of innovative city frameworks. This framework integrates IoT and big data analytics into the e-government process, thereby transforming urban governance. The researchers emphasize that this innovation not only streamlines city operations but also empowers citizens with more personalized services. Additionally, interdisciplinary studies demonstrate that collaboration among various stakeholders is crucial for the success of e-government innovation, thereby fostering an ecosystem that supports sustainable development. Through this chronological lens, the literature presents a rich narrative that underscores the dynamic interactions between technology, governance, and citizen engagement in the ongoing development of e-government at the city level.

A significant emphasis in the literature on e-government innovation at the city level centers on the role of technology in enhancing the delivery of public services. The study highlights that the adoption of digital tools not only improves efficiency but also encourages greater citizen involvement and transparency in local governance. For example, research shows how interactive platforms can facilitate real-time communication between government officials and constituents, thereby reducing bureaucratic delays and building trust in public institutions (Kaushal & Kumar, 2025; Thapaliya & Esichaikul, 2025). Additionally, the literature indicates that the successful implementation of e-government initiatives often depends on the socio-political context of the municipality. Contextual factors, such as political leadership, public readiness, and existing infrastructure, are essential determinants of the extent to which cities can effectively integrate e-government solutions (Onyekwelu & Ogbuefi, 2021; Slater et al., 2023).

These findings underscore the importance of tailored strategies that consider local dynamics rather than a one-size-fits-all approach. Another central theme is examining the barriers that hinder e-government innovation, including limited fiscal resources and resistance to change in government bodies. Addressing these challenges is critical to promoting a sustainable e-government framework, as noted by some authors who argue that overcoming internal resistance is just as crucial as securing technology investments (Febriyanti et al., 2023). Ultimately, the literature demonstrates the increasing recognition of e-government not just as a technological transformation but as a comprehensive rethinking of governance practices and citizen interactions, revealing a multidimensional landscape in which innovation must be aligned with the unique characteristics of urban environments (Gadian et al., 2022; Martati et al., 2021). The

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70188/an4zr139

convergence of these themes provides a nuanced understanding of how cities navigate the complexities of implementing e-government innovations.

The exploration of e-government innovation at the city level has been shaped in real terms by diverse methodological approaches, each bringing unique insights into the discourse. Qualitative methodologies, for example, allow researchers to study the nuanced experiences of city officials and citizens, revealing the contextual factors that influence the adoption of e-government. This perspective is underscored by findings from Kaushal & Kumar (2025) and Thapaliya & Esichaikul (2025), which emphasize the importance of understanding local dynamics and stakeholder engagement in driving innovation. On the other hand, the quantitative approach aims to measure the impact of various e-government initiatives through large-scale surveys and statistical analysis, providing a broader picture of trends in several cities. For example, (Onyekwelu & Ogbuefi, 2021; Slater et al., 2023) Describe how such research has successfully mapped the relationship between technology implementation and citizen satisfaction levels, highlighting important metrics for assessing the effectiveness of e-government. The mixed-methods framework blends these advantages, facilitating a more comprehensive evaluation of e-government strategies by connecting quantitative data with qualitative insights. This methodological triangulation is evident in the work of Centonze and Reale (2021) and Febriyanti et al. (2023), where the combination of interviews and surveys enriches the understanding of success factors and obstacles in the context of local e-government. In addition, case studies have emerged as highly effective for showcasing innovative practices and their practical implications, as seen in Gadian et al. (2022). This varied methodological strategy not only deepens our understanding of e-government as a concept but also identifies important pathways for future research and urban policy development, emphasizing that methodological choices significantly shape the findings and conclusions drawn about e-government innovation at the city level.

An exploration of e-government innovation at the city level reveals a convergence of theoretical perspectives that offer a nuanced understanding of the complexities inherent in this domain. One of the themes that stands out in the literature is the adoption of technology as a catalyst for improving governance efficiency and enhancing citizen engagement. Scholars argue that e-government initiatives often rely on the principles of institutional theory, which emphasize the influence of organizational structures and cultural frameworks in shaping the adoption of technology (Kaushal & Kumar, 2025; Thapaliya & Esichaikul, 2025). This finding aligns with research that highlights how the institutional environment can either facilitate or hinder the implementation of e-government strategies (Onyekwelu & Ogbuefi, 2021; Slater et al., 2023). On the contrary, the literature also critically examines the potential weaknesses and limitations of e-government innovation. Some researchers highlight the digital divide, pointing to gaps in access and technology literacy among different demographic groups as significant barriers to equitable implementation. (Centonze & Reale, 2021; Febriyanti et al., 2023). These concerns are often framed through the lens of social capital theory, which posits that networks and community relationships are essential for the successful adoption of new technologies. (Gadian et al., 2022; Martati et al., 2021). In addition, the intersection of technology theory and public administration has also been highlighted, with a focus on the changing role of public managers in facilitating e-government initiatives. The literature shows that successful e-government practices depend not only on the technological framework but also on the leadership and strategic vision of public officials, (Belyaeva & Sokolova, 2024; Sesan et al., 2022). By integrating these various theoretical perspectives, the literature offers a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted nature of e-government innovation, highlighting its potential benefits and the challenges that cities must overcome in their pursuit of effective governance.

CONCLUSION

A systematic review of the literature on e-government innovation at the city level shows that the integration of digital technology in urban governance has significant transformative potential. E-government has been proven to enhance the efficiency of public services, promote transparency, and increase citizen participation in the government process. Successful implementation is heavily influenced by visionary leadership and an organizational culture that supports innovation. However, challenges such as the digital divide, limited scalability, and capacity differences between cities remain significant obstacles. This highlights the importance of a contextual approach that considers local social, economic, and infrastructural conditions. Furthermore, additional research is required to investigate the relationship between e-government and social capital, as well as strategies for reaching underserved groups. Thus, the development of inclusive and sustainable e-government requires cross-sector collaboration and active community involvement. With this approach, e-government can be the foundation for more adaptive, participatory, and accountable city governance.

REFERENCES

Baymuratov, M. O., & Kofman, B. Ya. (2022). "Municipal person": parametric features, concepts, role and significance in the formation and functioning of local democracy and democratic legal statehood. *Analytical and Comparative Jurisprudence*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:255872728

Belyaeva, O. V, & Sokolova, A. Y. (2024). Artificial intelligence in state and municipal management: challenges and prospects. *Proceedings of the Southwest State University. Series: Economics. Sociology. Management*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:271777082

Bobrovnyk, D. (2022). THE MUNICIPAL LEGAL AWARENESS: STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS. *Bulletin of Alfred Nobel University Series "Law."* https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:257225415

Bobrovnyk, D. (2024). Formation Of The Globalist Potential Of The Territorial Community In The Context Of The Establishment And Development Of International Cooperation Of Local Government Bodies. *Bulletin of Alfred Nobel University Series "Law."* https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:271347754

Botín-Sanabria, D. M., Mihaita, A.-S., Peimbert-García, R. E., Ramírez-Moreno, M. A., Ramírez-Mendoza, R. A., & Lozoya-Santos, J. de J. (2022). Digital twin technology challenges and applications: A comprehensive review. *Remote Sensing*, *14*(6), 1335.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101.

Busch, P. A., Henriksen, H. Z., & Sæbø, Ø. (2018). Opportunities and challenges of digitized discretionary practices: a public service worker perspective. *Government Information Quarterly*, *35*(4), 547–556.

Centonze, R., & Reale, R. (2021). Self-sovereign identity as a tool for digital democracy. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2106.11714*.

Cooley, S., Schoeman, D., Bopp, L., Boyd, P., Donner, S., Kiessling, W., Martinetto, P., Ojea, E., Racault, M. F., & Rost, B. (2023). *Oceans and coastal ecosystems and their services*.

Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., Koohang, A., Raghavan, V., & Ahuja, M. (2023). Opinion Paper:"So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of

generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, *71*, 102642.

Febriyanti, D., Widianingsih, I., Sumaryana, A., & Buchari, R. A. (2023). Information Communication Technology (ICT) on Palembang city government, Indonesia: Performance measurement for great digital governance. *Cogent Social Sciences*, *9*(2), 2269710.

Gadian, A., Amadei, B., Woydt, C., Jeong, D.-Y., Fry, G. R. H., Aiginger, K., Leland, R., Thierfelder, T., Caughron, A., & McGregor, A. (2022). Meeting Abstracts of World Conference on Climate Change & Sustainability. *Carbon Footprints*, *2*(1).

Isakov, I. N. (2023). New technologies in law as a highly effective means of implementing the constitutional principle of equality in conditions of multi-level legal regulation. *RUDN Journal of Law*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:266889191

Kamalov, F., Santandreu Calonge, D., & Gurrib, I. (2023). New era of artificial intelligence in education: Towards a sustainable multifaceted revolution. *Sustainability*, *15*(16), 12451.

Kaushal, A., & Kumar, H. (2025). Harnessing Technology for Transparency: Analyzing Government Projects and ICT in Uttar Pradesh. *SAMVAD*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:276301959

Keele, S. (2007). *Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering*. Technical report, ver. 2.3 ebse technical report. ebse.

Kelly, S., Kaye, S.-A., & Oviedo-Trespalacios, O. (2023). What factors contribute to the acceptance of artificial intelligence? A systematic review. *Telematics and Informatics*, *77*, 101925.

Martati, I., Suminto, B. A., & Asniwaty, B. (2021). Confirmatory factors analysis on the latent variables indicators of e-government, organizational culture, good governance, and public trust in transfer fund management. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, *56*(1), 24–35.

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Bmj*, *339*.

Onyekwelu, E. I., & Ogbuefi, J. U. (2021). Impact of Urban Regeneration Projects on Housing Neighbourhood Conditions in Lagos State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Science and Management Studies*, *4*(4), 369–384.

Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2008). *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide*. John Wiley & Sons.

Picazo-Vela, S., Gutiérrez-Martínez, I., & Luna-Reyes, L. F. (2012). Understanding risks, benefits, and strategic alternatives of social media applications in the public sector. *Government Information Quarterly*, *29*(4), 504–511.

Rozhkov, E. (2023). The ability to control space and property in a municipality. *Economics. Sociology. Law*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:257790427

Sesan, T., Sanfo, S., Sikhwivhilu, K., Dakyaga, F., Aziz, F., Yirenya-Tawiah, D., Badu, M., Derbile, E., Ojoyi, M., & Ibrahim, B. (2022). Mediating knowledge co-production for inclusive governance and delivery of food, water and energy services in African cities. *Urban Forum*, 1–27.

Silva, L., & Westrup, C. (2009). *Development and the promise of technological change*. Taylor & Francis.

Slater, J., Katz, A., Chateau, D., Cidro, J., Falkenberg, T., Nickel, N., Sanguins, J., Urquia, M., & Pilli, B. (2023). Integrated Knowledge Translation in the Food and Nutrition Security for Manitoba Youth study. *European Journal of Public Health*, *33*(Supplement_2), ckad160-1086.

Thapaliya, P., & Esichaikul, V. (2025). The impact of e-government on public services: Access, efficiency, and quality in Kathmandu metropolitan city. *JeDEM-EJournal of EDemocracy and Open Government*, *17*(1), 65–79.