

Transformational and Nurturing Leadership Practices in Building Human Resource Capacity in Schools

Muh. Nasrullah

Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia

Email correspondence author: Nasrullah.mujetaba@unm.ac.id

ABSTRACT

This study explores the role of educational leadership in enhancing human resource capacity within schools through a qualitative, exploratory design. Drawing on semi-structured interviews with school leaders and senior teachers from both high-performing and underperforming secondary schools, the research investigates how leadership practices influence teacher motivation, professional development, and organizational resilience. Thematic analysis revealed four key themes: the impact of transformational leadership on teacher engagement, the significance of nurturing leadership in promoting staff well-being, the contextual factors that mediate leadership effectiveness, and the influence of gender on leadership perception and experience. Findings indicate that effective leadership in education is deeply relational and context sensitive. Transformational and nurturing leadership practices were found to foster trust, collaboration, and professional satisfaction, particularly in challenging school environments. Moreover, gender dynamics shaped how leadership styles were enacted and received, revealing underlying cultural expectations that influence leadership efficacy. These insights underscore the importance of human-centered and context-aware leadership development programs that emphasize emotional intelligence, inclusivity, and adaptability. The study contributes to the broader discourse on educational improvement by framing human resource capacity as a product of thoughtful, responsive, and inclusive school leadership.

Keywords: educational leadership, human resource capacity, transformational leadership, nurturing leadership, gender, qualitative research, school improvement.

INTRODUCTION

The changing educational landscape in the 21st century has increasingly highlighted the pivotal role of leadership in managing and developing human resource capacity in schools. With the intensification of global educational reforms and the growing demand for quality teaching and learning, school leaders are now required not only to manage instructional activities but also to serve as strategic developers of human capital. This shift has positioned educational leadership as a critical determinant of school effectiveness, especially in navigating challenges such as teacher attrition, performance disparities, and the integration of inclusive practices (Darwis et al., 2024; Indrawati & Wahira, 2025; Saleh & Arhas, 2024).

Effective leadership in schools is essential for fostering professional cultures that promote teacher engagement, motivation, and long-term career satisfaction (Darwis et al., 2023; Ruloff & Petko, 2021; Saggaf et al., 2019; Yalçınkaya et al., 2021). The literature increasingly acknowledges that leadership is not merely administrative but profoundly relational and developmental in nature. Transformational leadership emphasizes inspiration, trust, and individualized support, which collectively enhance the morale and capacity of educators (Baroudi, 2020; Hyseni Duraku & Hoxha, 2021; Kaso et al., 2021). Leaders who adopt this approach are better positioned to create school environments conducive to learning, collaboration, and continuous professional growth.

Strategic alignment between leadership goals and human resource management practices is equally crucial. Research indicates that when school leaders intentionally align development programs with institutional priorities, they are more likely to achieve sustainable improvements in teaching quality and student achievement (Amar & Eleyan, 2022; Kılınç et

al., 2024). Through thoughtful planning and evidence-based decision-making, leaders can create systems that not only support teachers in their current roles but also prepare them for future leadership and instructional challenges.

Collaborative leadership has also gained prominence in recent discourse, particularly as it relates to shared governance and distributed responsibilities among educators (Kinder et al., 2021; Nauman et al., 2022; Torfing et al., 2020). This model enhances collective efficacy, promotes democratic decision-making, and fosters a shared sense of ownership over school improvement efforts. Schools that practice collaborative leadership report higher levels of staff satisfaction, innovation, and adaptability, especially during periods of change or crisis (Chen et al., 2022; Nedzinskaite-Maciuniene et al., 2023; Vijayadevar et al., 2019).

Equity remains an underexplored dimension in studies on educational leadership and human resources. Many investigations have primarily focused on mainstream educational settings, often overlooking the unique challenges faced by marginalized or underserved communities. Inclusive leadership frameworks must be further developed to ensure that school leaders are equipped to respond to diverse staff needs and to promote fairness in professional opportunities. Addressing this issue is essential for creating more equitable and responsive educational systems.

This article seeks to examine the complex relationship between leadership practices and the development of human resource capacity in schools. By analyzing the experiences and strategies of leaders in both high-performing and underperforming institutions, the study aims to identify actionable insights for building supportive, collaborative, and inclusive professional environments. The findings are expected to contribute meaningfully to ongoing discussions in educational policy and practice, offering guidance for school leaders, policymakers, and scholars interested in maximizing the potential of human resources in education.

METHOD

This study employed a qualitative exploratory research design to investigate how educational leadership influences human resource capacity development in schools. Given the complexity and context-dependent nature of leadership practices, a qualitative approach was deemed most appropriate to capture participants' lived experiences, perceptions, and interpretations. The exploratory nature of the study allowed for the discovery of themes that may not emerge in structured or hypothesis-driven designs, particularly those related to emotional leadership, school climate, and cultural factors such as gender.

The research was underpinned by a constructivist paradigm, which acknowledges that meaning is co-constructed through social interactions and shaped by individual and contextual realities. This approach was instrumental in allowing the researcher to delve deeply into the perspectives of both school leaders and teachers, uncovering the nuanced ways leadership influences human resource capacity in diverse educational settings.

Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure the inclusion of individuals with direct experience and insight into school leadership dynamics. The study involved 12 participants from six public secondary schools—three categorized as high-performing and three as underperforming based on national examination results and accreditation status. Each school contributed one school leader (principal or vice principal) and one senior teacher, ensuring a range of views from both leadership and teaching perspectives.

Inclusion criteria required that participants have a minimum of three years of experience in their current school and be actively involved in school management or pedagogical decision-making. This sampling strategy aimed to capture rich, contextually embedded information relevant to the study's objectives.

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, which allowed for flexibility while ensuring that key topics were consistently addressed across interviews. The interview guide included open-ended questions focused on leadership style, support for teacher development, emotional and organizational climate, contextual challenges, and gender dynamics in leadership roles. Each interview lasted approximately 45 to 60 minutes and was conducted in-person or via video call, depending on location and availability. All interviews were audio-recorded with participant consent and transcribed verbatim for analysis.

Interview transcripts were analyzed using thematic analysis following the procedures outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). The analysis proceeded in six stages: (1) familiarization with data, (2) generating initial codes, (3) searching for themes, (4) reviewing themes, (5) defining and naming themes, and (6) producing the report. Coding was conducted manually to ensure close engagement with the data.

To enhance credibility, investigator triangulation was used. A second researcher independently reviewed the coding and theme development process, and discrepancies were discussed until consensus was reached. Member checks were also conducted by returning thematic summaries to participants for validation.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This qualitative study explored the ways in which educational leadership influences human resource capacity in both high-performing and underperforming schools. The analysis of interview data from school principals and senior teachers revealed four major themes: (1) leadership style and teacher engagement, (2) nurturing leadership and staff well-being, (3) contextual factors shaping leadership effectiveness, and (4) gender and perceptions of leadership. These themes emerged through a systematic process of thematic analysis, highlighting the complex and interdependent factors that affect leadership outcomes in diverse school settings.

Each theme contributes uniquely to understanding how leadership practices impact the human resource environment within schools. The findings point to the conclusion that leadership is not only about management or decision-making structures but also about the ability to foster relational trust, contextual adaptability, and inclusive values. Below is a detailed elaboration of each emergent theme from the qualitative data.

Leadership Style and Teacher Engagement

Across both high-performing and underperforming schools, teachers consistently emphasized the influence of leadership style—particularly transformational leadership—on their levels of engagement and motivation. Leaders who demonstrated vision, authenticity, and encouragement were credited with creating professional cultures grounded in trust and empowerment. Teachers reported that these leaders communicated a clear direction, invited their input in decision-making, and recognized their contributions. As one respondent put it, “When our principal involves us in planning and listens to our concerns, I feel like what I do really matters.”

Moreover, transformational leaders were often described as “role models” who led by example and encouraged professional innovation. This form of leadership appeared to generate a ripple effect, motivating teachers to go beyond their routine responsibilities and engage in collaborative efforts to improve school outcomes. Notably, in underperforming schools, this leadership style served as a morale booster, compensating for resource limitations by inspiring intrinsic motivation. The presence of such leadership was not merely seen as ideal it was perceived as essential to sustaining professional energy and commitment.

Nurturing Leadership and Staff Well-Being

The theme of nurturing leadership was prominently articulated by participants, particularly in relation to emotional support and psychological safety. Teachers valued leaders who demonstrated empathy, actively listened, and responded with compassion during times of professional stress. In one case, a teacher from an underperforming school described her principal as someone who “remembers our birthdays, checks in when we’re sick, and genuinely wants us to be okay—not just as teachers, but as people.” This form of leadership created an atmosphere of mutual care, which participants believed directly improved morale and reduced burnout.

In high-performing schools, nurturing leadership practices were credited with reinforcing a collaborative school climate, where staff members supported one another and were more open to feedback and continuous improvement. Teachers emphasized that a nurturing leader fosters emotional resilience, encourages risk-taking in pedagogy, and maintains high levels of trust across hierarchical levels. The consistent presence of empathy and care contributed to a sense of stability and belonging, which in turn enhanced teacher retention and job satisfaction.

Contextual Factors Shaping Leadership Practice

Leadership practices were found to be deeply influenced by contextual variables such as school size, geographic location, access to resources, and student demographics. Participants from underperforming schools noted that principals often had to assume multiple roles due to a lack of administrative support. These leaders engaged directly in classroom observations, handled discipline cases, and managed external stakeholder relationships simultaneously. As one principal stated, “I’m not just the school leader—I’m also the counselor, the janitor, and the mediator.” This multitasking, while taxing, was seen as a necessity rather than a choice.

In contrast, leaders in high-performing schools benefited from stronger organizational infrastructure, allowing them to delegate responsibilities and focus on long-term strategic planning. The contrast underscores how institutional context shapes leadership scope and efficacy. These findings suggest that the success of leadership interventions is not merely a function of personal style but is mediated by the availability of institutional support. Therefore, leadership development initiatives must account for these contextual complexities to avoid misaligned expectations and policy inefficiencies.

Gender and Perceptions of Leadership

The influence of gender on leadership perception and practice was a recurring theme in participant narratives. Female leaders were often described as more empathetic, inclusive, and attentive to emotional dynamics within their teams. Many teachers associated these traits with positive leadership outcomes, particularly in fostering open communication and mutual respect. However, several female leaders also shared experiences of being underestimated or facing subtle resistance in male-dominated environments. One principal remarked, “People assume I’m soft because I’m a woman, but they don’t see the strength it takes to lead with empathy.”

These gendered dynamics were further complicated by cultural expectations within the communities in which schools operated. In more traditional or conservative settings, female leaders reported needing to “prove” their competence more frequently than their male counterparts. Meanwhile, male leaders were often given immediate legitimacy, though some struggled with demonstrating emotional accessibility. These nuanced insights highlight the importance of integrating gender awareness into leadership training and support systems, ensuring that all leaders can thrive regardless of societal stereotypes.

CONCLUSION

This study has illuminated the critical role of educational leadership in shaping and enhancing human resource capacity within diverse school contexts. Through a qualitative exploration of school leaders' and teachers' perspectives, four central themes emerged: the influence of transformational leadership on teacher engagement, the significance of nurturing leadership for staff well-being, the importance of contextual responsiveness in leadership practice, and the moderating effects of gender on leadership perception. These findings affirm that leadership is not a one-dimensional construct but a dynamic, relational process that adapts to institutional demands, cultural norms, and interpersonal dynamics.

Leadership practices that prioritize collaboration, emotional support, and strategic vision were consistently identified as drivers of professional motivation, teacher retention, and organizational cohesion. The study underscores that leadership development in education must move beyond administrative training to include emotional intelligence, context awareness, and gender sensitivity. By understanding leadership as a multifaceted and context-dependent activity, educational institutions can foster environments where educators are empowered to grow and sustain long-term commitment to their profession.

While this study offers valuable insights into the interplay between educational leadership and human resource capacity, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the qualitative design, while rich in depth, inherently limits generalizability. The findings are based on a small sample of participants from six schools, which may not represent the full diversity of educational contexts across regions or systems. Future studies with larger samples or mixed-methods designs could provide more comprehensive perspectives.

Second, the reliance on self-reported data introduces the possibility of bias, such as social desirability or selective recall. Although steps were taken to ensure trustworthiness—such as triangulation and member checking—future research could benefit from incorporating classroom observations or document analysis to validate reported practices. Additionally, this study did not include student voices, which could have provided a fuller understanding of how leadership practices translate into classroom-level experiences and outcomes.

REFERENCES

- Amar, F., & Eleyan, D. (2022). Effect of principal's technology leadership on teacher's technology integration. *International Journal of Instruction*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2022.15145a>
- Baroudi, S. (2020). The role of self-efficacy as an attribute of principals' leadership effectiveness in K-12 private and public institutions in Lebanon. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 23(4), 457–471. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2018.1529822>
- Chen, D., Ning, B., & Bos, W. (2022). Relationship between Principal Leadership Style and Student Achievement: A Comparative Study between Germany and China. *SAGE Open*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221094601>
- Darwis, M., Kalsum, A., & Arhas, S. H. (2024). Effectiveness of Principal Supervision at State Vocational School 1 Gowa. *Pinisi Journal of Education and Management*, 142–148.
- Darwis, M., Rivai, A. M., Arhas, S. H., & Purna, Z. A. (2023). School-Based Management From the Viewpoint of the Principal's Leadership (Study at State Vocational School 1 Bone). *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, 50, 503–508.

- Hyseni Duraku, Z., & Hoxha, L. (2021). Impact of Transformational and Transactional Attributes of School Principal Leadership on Teachers' Motivation for Work. *Frontiers in Education*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.659919>
- Indrawati, I., & Wahira, W. (2025). POAC-based Principal Management Model in Planning the PAUD Unit Annual Program. *Journal of Social Science and Economics (JOSSE)*, 2(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.70188/snq54m52>
- Kaso, N., Mariani, M., Ilham, D., Firman, F., Aswar, N., & Iksan, M. (2021). The Principal's Leadership: How to Improve the Quality of Teaching and Learning Process in State Junior High School of Luwu. *Jurnal Ad'ministrare*, 8(1), 49–58.
- Kinder, T., Stenvall, J., Six, F., & Memon, A. (2021). Relational leadership in collaborative governance ecosystems. *Public Management Review*, 23(11). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2021.1879913>
- Kılınç, A. Ç., Polatcan, M., Turan, S., & Özdemir, N. (2024). Principal job satisfaction, distributed leadership, teacher-student relationships, and student achievement in Turkey: a multilevel mediated-effect model. *Irish Educational Studies*, 43(2), 281–299. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03323315.2022.2061567>
- Nauman, S., Bhatti, S. H., Imam, H., & Khan, M. S. (2022). How Servant Leadership Drives Project Team Performance Through Collaborative Culture and Knowledge Sharing. *Project Management Journal*, 53(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/87569728211037777>
- Nedzinskaite-Maciuniene, R., Cesnaviciene, J., Buksnyte-Marmiene, L., & Stasiunaitiene, E. (2023). The Relationship between Principals' Perception of their Leadership Style and Positive Attitudes towards Change in the Light of COVID-19 Pandemic. *European Journal of Contemporary Education*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.13187/ejced.2023.2.564>
- Ruloff, M., & Petko, D. (2021). School principals' educational goals and leadership styles for digital transformation: results from case studies in upper secondary schools. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2021.2014979>
- Saggaf, M. S., Wahyuddin, B. A., Akib, H., & Nasrullah, M. (2019). The Role of Principal Leadership in Vocational Schools Panca Sakti Makassar. *Jurnal Office*, 4(2), 53–62.
- Saleh, S., & Arhas, S. H. (2024). Principal's Leadership Style and Influence Workload Against Teacher Performance. *KnE Social Sciences*, 702–710.
- Torring, J., Cristofoli, D., Gloor, P. A., Meijer, A. J., & Trivellato, B. (2020). Taming the snake in paradise: combining institutional design and leadership to enhance collaborative innovation. *Policy and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14494035.2020.1794749>
- Vijayadevar, S., Thornton, K., & Cherrington, S. (2019). Professional learning communities: Enhancing collaborative leadership in Singapore early childhood settings. *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1463949119833578>
- Yalçınkaya, S., Dağlı, G., Aksal, F. A., Gazi, Z. A., & Kalkan, Ü. (2021). The effect of leadership styles and initiative behaviors of school principals on teacher motivation. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052711>